



OFFICIALS PROGRAM

JUMPING: 360 JUDGE EVALUATION FORM

This form is to be completed by the evaluating judge and submitted to EC within 10-days of the conclusion of the competition. The candidate is responsible for supplying the blank form to the evaluating judge and the evaluating judge is responsible for its submission to EC.

Candidate Information			
Candidate's name:			
Email:			
Level applying for	Recorded ☐	Senior ☐	FEI Level 1 ☐
Name of evaluating judge:			
Number of competition days observed:			

Competition Information
Competition Name:
Competition Date:
Divisions Judge:
Location:

DESCRIPTION

The evaluation will be held over the entire competition and must include divisions applicable to the level of certification that has been applied for. The evaluation will include the following components: course inspection (walking), judging of classes, responsibilities of a judge, competition rules and their application, horse and rider welfare, disposition, and personality.

EVALUATION

All skills are to be evaluated using a Likert scale of 1-5 where a 1 denotes a no skill and 5 denotes exceptional skills. There are comments under each section and an explanation is *required* for any rating of 3 or less. Please see the appendix for further definition of the scale.

Please also be aware that these forms will be shared with the candidate. Your role is to give clear, constructive feedback to the candidate. Ensure that comments are detailed and written clearly because they will be reviewed by the EC Jumper Judge Evaluator prior to the candidate being promoted.



PLEASE EVALUATE THE CANDIDATE ON THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA

1. Understanding of Course Design					
Track of the course, placement of fences along the track, related distances; dimension and type of fence; equipment used to build the fence; effects of terrain and footing; the inter relationship of all the above; options; safety.					
Score:	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	5 ☐
Comments:					
2. Ability to Judge					
Organization; cards; scoring of faults including disobediences, knockdowns, falls, timing, eliminations, problem solving, grey areas in rules.					
Score:	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	5 ☐
Comments:					
3. Understanding of Show Jumping Management					
Appropriate and legal area of different officials; organizers and staff; chain of command between officials, organizers, and staff; announcers; timers; stewards.					
Score:	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	5 ☐
Comments:					
4. Understanding of the Different Types of Classes					
Different types of classes; related course diagrams are complete and correct, preparations for judging; optimum time, accumulator, six bars, relays, immediate jump, 2 phase, winning round, 2 round competition, table c and table a, derbies					
Score:	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	5 ☐
Comments:					



5. Understanding Rules

Different types of classes; where rules come from; how to handle grey areas; what are the filters that can be applied to make a judgement in a grey area (fairness of sport, intent, welfare), and understands the current EC and FEI rules including the most recent changes, where to locate them, and has a plan to keep up to date with any future changes.

Score:	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	5 ☐
---------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

Comments:

6. Roles and responsibilities of Jumping Officials

Standard of conduct of officials; relationship between Course Designer, Steward and Judges, “Spirit of the Sport”, integrity, professionalism, risk management, problem solving, conflict management, stress management, and communication under pressure.

Score:	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	5 ☐
---------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

Comments:

7. Disciplinary Measures – Warning, Yellow cards, Fines

Understands and is able to explain the protest procedure to management and to competitors, when a yellow card or fine is appropriate and why.

Score:	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	5 ☐
---------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

Comments:

8. Welfare of the horse

Understands and is able to explain to management and to competitors, when welfare of the horse is at risk, when and what horse abuse is and under what situations it is penalized.

Score:	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	5 ☐
---------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

Comments:



9. Welfare of the rider

Understands and is able to explain to management and to competitors, the helmet requirements, when and where it is OK to receive assistance, understands concussion protocols in the different types of in ring scenarios.

Score:	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	5 ☐
---------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

Comments:

10. Overall Suitability

Interest in job; organization; ability to express ideas; manner with competitors, other officials and with organizing and competition personnel. Was the candidate overall prepared, professional, & understands the sport well?

Score:	1 ☐	2 ☐	3 ☐	4 ☐	5 ☐
---------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

Comments:



DISPOSITION/ PERSONALITY

PLEASE SELECT ONE OF THE CHOICES IN EACH COMPETENCY

<u>Responsibility</u> <i>willingness to accept and fulfill duties</i>	<u>Initiative</u> <i>willingness to solve a problem</i>
☐ Unreliable ☐ Takes responsibility with instruction ☐ Willing to accept responsibility ☐ Understands and owns role and responsibilities	☐ No drive ☐ Hesitant to try something new ☐ Understands & is competent but needs more confidence ☐ Figures it out, own the task and delivers well

<u>Teamwork</u> <i>willingness to work with and accept input</i>	<u>General Disposition</u> <i>suitability to being a judge</i>
☐ Focused on self only ☐ Reluctantly cooperative ☐ Cooperative ☐ Team focused	☐ Negative ☐ Shy or overly talkative ☐ Pleasant, easy to get along with ☐ Self assured and professional

<u>Critical Habitue</u> <i>Ability to relate to organizers, volunteers, & competitors in a positive manner</i>	<u>Communication Skills</u> <i>Among team of officials, competitors, organizers</i>
☐ Hypercritical ☐ Critical but no insight offered ☐ Sound judgement in affairs and people ☐ Excellent people and problem-solving skills	☐ Poor ☐ Average ☐ Good ☐ Excellent



General Remarks (must complete)

Please make specific comments on the candidate's performance that will confirm your decision either to recommend or not recommend him/her. Do not hesitate to continue on an additional sheet of paper.

PLEASE SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING OPTIONS:

1. The Candidate demonstrates the knowledge and skill required to officiate competently at the appropriate level:

☐ *I recommend this candidate for promotion.*

2. The Candidate has not demonstrated knowledge and skill required to officiate competently at the appropriate level:

☐ *I do not recommend this candidate for promotion at this time.*

Name (print): _____

Signature: _____

Date: _____

A copy of this evaluation, along with any supporting documentation, must be sent to Equestrian Canada by the evaluating judge.

Equestrian Canada - Officials Program

E-mail: officials@equestrian.ca